

**Attachment 4: San Juan LIO 2-Year Implementation Plan
Preproposal Screening Form for Inclusion of Near Term Action (NTA)**

Please complete this preproposal screening form to the greatest extent possible with information about the NTA you propose to be considered by the San Juan LIO for inclusion in the 2-Year Implementation Plan and the 2016/2017 Action Agenda for the Puget Sound Partnership. At this stage, this is not intended to be a complete proposal; however, please include a brief response to each of the questions below with information known at this time to assist the San Juan LIO with the initial stage of proposed NTA review.

Please submit your response to Megan Johnston at mjohnston@triangleassociates.com by October 28, 2015. The San Juan LIO Implementation Committee will review initial proposed NTAs during the November 4, 2015 Implementation Committee meeting.

Owner & Partners

Identify the NTA owner and the partners that will help implement the NTA. Briefly describe all of the following:

- Roles and responsibilities.
- Level of organizational support for implementing this NTA.
- Experience and capacity relevant to implementing this type of action.

NTA Description

- Briefly describe the proposed action, including goals and objectives, key approaches, and the major process steps for achieving the action.
- Briefly describe why the action is important and how the action will contribute toward achieving one or more Puget Sound Vital Signs and, if applicable, 2020 recovery targets.
- Indicate the sub-strategies from the Strategic Initiative(s) that this project would implement and priority pressures (sources and stressors), and Vital Signs (and targets, if applicable) that are linked to the NTA.

Multi-Phase Actions

If the NTA is part of a multi-phase action, further describe the proposed NTA in the context of the overall scope, including the goals and objectives for both the overall action and for the phase described in the proposed NTA. Include a brief schedule for the overall action. Describe how the proposed NTA is a priority step in the sequence and is necessary for future steps to occur.

Ongoing Programs

If the NTA is related to an ongoing program, further describe how the ongoing program has been funded in the past and how the NTA is critical to improving, expanding, or otherwise changing the ongoing program.

Performance Measures

Identify a clear set of performance measures (milestones, outputs and outcomes) to track implementation, effectiveness, and long term ecological outcomes consistent with the following guidance:

- Measures correspond directly to the goal of the action, as articulated in the NTA description
- Measures are clear, concise, and easy to understand; non-technical
- Process steps are chronological
- Delivery schedules are clearly specified
- Responsibility for delivery is assigned (performance measures are specific to each entity/jurisdiction)
- Measurable objectives have been set, against which results can be gauged

Regional Priority

Briefly describe how the NTA responds to the regional priorities identified in the *Strategic Initiatives and Regional Priorities* (see the Puget Sound Partnership solicitation at

<https://pspwa.app.box.com/s/43heo2w6kb28197m3s3wsw69kkg90oud>)

Science-based/Technical Rationale for the Proposal

Briefly describe the scientific/technical basis for selecting and scoping the proposed NTA, including:

- Obvious alternatives considered and why they were not selected.
- How location, sequence, and timing of the proposed action were considered relative to other ecosystem protection and recovery needs and whether the proposed action precludes other ecosystem recovery actions.
- Briefly describe how the NTA’s benefits and potential for success were analyzed, including identified barriers, uncertainties, and risks. (Criteria 4d)

If applicable, briefly describe how resilience to climate change (and ocean acidification, if applicable) has been considered in the development of the NTA. If not applicable, explain why the NTA would not be affected.

Cost Estimate

Provide a cost estimate for the full cost of implementing the NTA and a brief description of how this estimate was determined. If this proposal is part of a phased project, provide the total cost estimate for each phase of the project. If applicable, provide an estimated cost range that reflects uncertainties in the cost of the NTA.

Community Support

Provide a brief description of the level of community support for the project, and how that information was collected.

Secondary Community Benefits

Provide details on any secondary community benefits of the project (by phase and complete).

Overall Benefit Review

Indicate the scale and intensity of this project (circle the number below).

- Scale:
 - 1 = action addresses the entire San Juan Island Watershed or larger
 - 2 = action addresses a watershed/inlet/island
 - 3 = action addresses a sub-watershed/sub-inlet/shoreline reach
 - 4 = action is small, e.g., less than 10 acres
- Intensity:
 - 1 = effect of action is widespread throughout the geographic scale and is likely to restore, protect from or halt threats/stressors
 - 2 = effect of action is widespread at the geographic scale and will significantly restore or protect ecosystem function or retard threats/stressors
 - 3 = effect of action is localized through the geographic scale and will moderately restore ecosystem function or retard threats/stressors
 - 4 = effect of action is very localized in a portion of the geographic scale and will slightly restore or retard threats/stressors